

Message

From: Stan Carey [scarey@massapequawater.com]
Sent: 5/11/2015 1:56:19 PM
To: 'Brian Caldwell' [bcaldwell@Ensafe.com]; henry.wilkie@dec.ny.gov; 'Fly, Lora B CIV NAVFAC MIDLANT, IPTNE' [lora.fly@navy.mil]; Harrington, Jim (DEC) [jim.harrington@dec.ny.gov]; Garbarini, Doug [Garbarini.Doug@epa.gov]; Hannon, ED (AS) [Edward.Hannon@ngc.com]; Eugene Leff [ejleff@gw.dec.state.ny.us]; McCabe, Catherine [McCabe.Catherine@epa.gov]
CC: Len@SFWATER.COM; mboufis@bethpagewater.com; rhumann@h2m.com; gloesch@h2m.com; pgranger@h2m.com; jellsworth@oysterbay-ny.gov; jreinhardt@hotmail.org; Richard.Kern@amwater.com; bmerklin@db-eng.com; jamie.tricarico@mail.house.gov; Kyle_strober@schumer.senate.gov
Subject: RE: Form 1 Validated data tables - March 2015 Quarterly Groundwater sampling
Attachments: image004.png; removed.txt

These monitoring well results are very troubling. They continue to confirm the plume is not weakening or being remediated to acceptable levels. The levels just north of Hempstead Tpk are over the 1000 ppb hot spot definition (which MWD does not agree with) and near 500 ppb just south of Hempstead Tpk. It is obvious that it is only a matter of time the levels will reach 1000 ppb south of the Tpk. So my question to the DEC (Jim), Navy (Ms. Fly), and Grumman (Mr. Hannon) is what is being done to get ahead of the plume and prevent impacts to additional public supply wells? A no response will be taken as nothing. How many meetings do we need to have before aggressive action is taken by the responsible parties?

Let's add the 1,4 Dioxane levels to the conversation. 19 ppb just north of Hempstead Tpk. and 9.7 just south of Hempstead Tpk. We are all well aware that the cost for dioxane removal was not considered in the cost alternatives when the ROD was developed. So what is being done to mitigate dioxane impacts to the public water supply wells? Again, a no response by the responsible parties will be taken as nothing. The work to the north is not or will not pull the contamination from the south to the north. It is too far offsite.

People, (DEC, Navy, Grumman) when is it going to be time to take aggressive action to clean up this plume? Year after year continues to go by with increasing levels of contamination as we continue to watch the plume march unchecked to the south. Not to mention the unregulated contaminants that are soon to be regulated and contaminate the public water supply wells. How many more meetings do we need to attend to get the same old story? MWD has kept outstanding files over the years and be sure we are ready to use them at the appropriate time.

Stan Carey, Superintendent
Massapequa Water District



From: Brian Caldwell [mailto:bcaldwell@Ensafe.com]
Sent: Saturday, May 09, 2015 9:28 AM
To: henry.wilkie@dec.ny.gov; Fly, Lora B CIV NAVFAC MIDLANT, IPTNE (lora.fly@navy.mil)
Cc: Len@SFWATER.COM; mboufis@bethpagewater.com; rhumann@h2m.com; gloesch@h2m.com; scarey@massapequawater.com; pgranger@h2m.com; jellsworth@oysterbay-ny.gov; jreinhardt@hotmail.org; Richard.Kern@amwater.com
Subject: Form 1 Validated data tables - March 2015 Quarterly Groundwater sampling

All:

On behalf of the Navy, Resolution is submitting the attached validated data. As per discussions between the Navy and NYSDEC, NYSDEC requested that the Navy submit the validated Form 1s as soon as the information is available.

Regards,
Brian

*Brian Caldwell, PG CPG
EnSafe/Resolution Consultants
Senior Hydrogeologist
308 North Peters Road, Suite 200
Knoxville TN 37922
Office: (865) 693-3623
Cell: (865) 803-6295*

